COSMOS CONTINUUM

The Equity Case for Designing The Middle Moment:

Pediatric Anxiety, Health Equity, and the Clinical Environments We Haven't Designed For

A white paper from Cosmos Continuum

2026

OVERVIEW

Pediatric procedural anxiety is not equally distributed. Children arriving at community health centers in underserved communities carry compounded stressors — prior adverse healthcare experiences, language barriers, cultural distance from clinical settings, and in many cases the neurological signature of chronic stress. Their Middle Moment is longer, more intense, and harder to navigate. Yet the facilities serving these children are typically the least resourced for environmental design. The gap between what that child needs and what that room offers is widest precisely where the need is greatest. This paper names that gap — and argues that addressing it is not a design aspiration. It is an equity imperative.

SECTION ONE

The Middle Moment

There is a step in pediatric care that happens before the first word is spoken.

It happens after a child arrives and before anyone in a uniform touches them. It is not a pause in the visit. It is the interval during which the child's nervous system scans the environment and makes a decision that shapes everything that follows: whether this moment feels navigable, or whether it is simply happening to them.

That decision is not made through language. Children do not process clinical environments the way adults do. They read signals — the sound of equipment, the smell of the room, the sight of a uniform, the feel of a paper-covered surface. Adults speak words. Children speak signals. The room speaks first.

When their assessment concludes that they have no control over what is about to happen, the nervous system responds as it was designed to. The body stiffens. Cooperation becomes difficult. The resistance that follows is not defiance. It is a protective response to powerlessness.

> *"The resistance is not caused by pain. It is not even caused by fear of what is about to happen. It is caused by powerlessness — the experience of having no agency in a moment of uncertainty."*

Every pediatric nurse knows what that room feels like. Every Child Life Specialist has spent a career working inside it. Every parent has sat in that chair and watched their child's face change. The Middle Moment is not a new problem. It is an old one that has never been named — and therefore never been solved.

Naming it matters. A problem that has no name can only be managed. A problem that has a name can be solved.

The Middle Moment is predictable. It happens in every pediatric clinical encounter, without exception — in dental chairs, blood draw centers, school-based health clinics, and community health center exam rooms. The environment changes. The moment does not.

But the moment is not a single experience. It has two forms. The extended Middle Moment is the waiting room, the pre-op and post-op space, the long interval where a child's nervous system has time to build a case for fear. The acute Middle Moment is the thirty seconds before a needle, the blood draw, the wound being treated — where the window is short and what's needed is immediate. Both are real. Both are predictable. And neither has been systematically designed for.

Which means both can be. Not improvised around. Not absorbed by the clinical staff. Designed for — with intention, from the beginning, in every room where a child waits.

SECTION TWO

The Unequal Middle Moment

For a child who has grown up with regular, predictable healthcare experiences — visits that were manageable, clinicians who felt familiar, environments that communicated safety — the Middle Moment carries a certain weight. It is real. The anxiety is real. But the nervous system arriving in that room has not been asked to carry much before it got there.

For a child whose healthcare history has been frightening, rushed, or painful — whose family has navigated systems that felt unwelcoming, in a language not their own — the Middle Moment begins before they enter the building. The nervous system in that room has already been primed.

The Weight Children Carry In

Research on Adverse Childhood Experiences — ACEs — has established that early exposure to adversity does not leave the child unchanged. The original ACE Study, conducted by Felitti and colleagues and published in 1998, documented a dose-response relationship between adverse childhood experiences and long-term health outcomes. What that research also established, and what subsequent work by Shonkoff and colleagues at Harvard's Center on the Developing Child elaborated, is the mechanism itself: chronic adversity alters the architecture of the stress response system.

A child with significant ACE exposure does not simply have more difficult memories. They have a nervous system that has been calibrated to a world in which threat is frequent and safety is unreliable. That calibration is not a flaw. It is an adaptation. But it means the room they walk into is already being read by a nervous system on alert — one that requires more to feel safe, and less to tip toward fear.

ACEs are not equally distributed. The CDC's surveillance data is consistent on this point: children in low-income households experience significantly higher rates of adverse experiences than their higher-income peers. Children of color carry elevated ACE burdens relative to white children. The communities most likely to depend on Federally Qualified Health Centers for their primary care are also the communities where that burden is most concentrated.

> *Felitti et al. (1998), American Journal of Preventive Medicine. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, ACEs Data. Shonkoff et al. (2012), "The Lifelong Effects of Early Childhood Adversity and Toxic Stress," Pediatrics.*

Language, Culture, and the Limits of Verbal Reassurance

The standard tools of clinical reassurance — a calm voice, an explanation of what is about to happen, a gentle hand — depend on a shared language and a baseline of familiarity with the clinical encounter. For many children in underserved communities, neither is reliably present.

Federally Qualified Health Centers serve a patient population in which a significant proportion have limited English proficiency. In many urban and rural FQHCs, a third or more of patients require interpreter services or speak a primary language other than English. For the child in that room, the words meant to calm may arrive in a language they cannot fully receive. Tone and intent do not always survive the gap.

Cultural distance compounds this. Knowing what the equipment does, understanding the role of the clinician, having any framework at all for why this is happening — this is itself a form of preparation. Families for whom healthcare has always been accessible and familiar have built that framework over time. Families who have been excluded from or avoided those systems

have not. The clinical room, for their children, is genuinely unfamiliar in ways that go beyond ordinary anxiety.

> *A child who cannot be reached by words is more dependent on the environment than any other child in that room. The room is the only communication available.*

Prior Experience and the Compounded Middle Moment

Research on pediatric procedural anxiety is consistent on one point: prior negative healthcare experiences are among the strongest predictors of elevated anxiety in subsequent encounters. The child who had a poorly managed blood draw at age four arrives at the phlebotomy chair at age seven already carrying it. The body remembers what the mind may not articulate.

Work by Taddio and colleagues on managing procedural pain in children documents how unmanaged anxiety doesn't stay in the room — it follows the child into every clinical encounter that comes after.

Children in underserved communities are more likely to have experienced care in settings under-resourced for managing anxiety — high volume, limited staffing, environments not designed for their comfort. Each difficult encounter adds to what the next Middle Moment has to carry.

Taddio et al. (2015), HELPinKIDS Clinical Practice Guidelines. Kain et al. (2006), Anesthesia and Analgesia.

The compounded Middle Moment is not a metaphor. It is a predictable clinical reality. The child sitting in the exam room of a community health center has often arrived carrying more than the child sitting in a pediatric hospital across town.

That is the heart of this paper. Everything that follows is a response to it.

SECTION THREE

The Design Disparity

This is a story about what happens when genuine care meets the limits of available resources. It is not a story about failure.

The best children's hospitals in the country have invested significantly in the pediatric patient experience — and it shows in the rooms their patients sit in. Dedicated pediatric wings feature murals, natural light, and spaces designed for families. Child Life programs are embedded in care teams. That investment reflects what is possible when resources allow for it.

What Federally Qualified Health Centers Face

Federally Qualified Health Centers are the primary care safety net for underserved communities across the United States. According to the National Association of Community Health Centers, CHCs operate over 17,000 service delivery sites nationwide, serving between 34 and 52 million patients annually — as many as 1 in 7 Americans. They provide care to 1 in 3 people living in poverty and 1 in 5 uninsured individuals. Roughly 1 in 3 of those patients is a child. And they do

all of this while representing only 1 percent of total annual healthcare spending in the United States.

FQHCs operate on constrained margins. Federal grants, Medicaid reimbursements, and sliding-scale patient fees fund everything — clinical staffing, equipment, and the basic costs of running a high-volume practice. A dedicated pediatric environment design budget, at most FQHCs, does not exist.

The result is not neglect. It is prioritization under genuine scarcity. Waiting rooms serve multiple patient populations. Exam rooms are general-purpose. The design elements that communicate safety to a child's nervous system — the kinds of elements that have become standard at well-resourced children's facilities — are simply absent. The rooms are clean. The care is genuine. The environment, through no one's failure, does nothing to meet the child where their anxiety is.

National Association of Community Health Centers, Community Health Center Chartbook (2024). HRSA Uniform Data System (UDS), Patient Demographic Data.

The Child Life Gap

Child Life Specialists are the clinical profession most directly focused on the Middle Moment. Their training is in the emotional experience of the child in the clinical environment — managing procedural anxiety, building coping strategies, and supporting families through the uncertainty of care. In pediatric hospitals with robust Child Life programs, these professionals are the systematic response to exactly the challenge this paper describes.

Most FQHCs have no Child Life staff. The community health centers, urgent care clinics, dental offices, and blood draw centers where millions of low-income children receive care operate without the professional whose entire role is to hold that moment. When it becomes difficult, it falls to whoever is already in the room — the nurse managing the encounter, the medical assistant, the physician watching the clock. The moment is absorbed by people who were not trained to hold it and were not given the time to.

This is not a failure of individuals. It is the shape of the gap.

> *The children who most need the Middle Moment designed for them are sitting in the rooms least designed for it. Understanding the shape of that clearly is the precondition for changing it.*

SECTION FOUR

Guided Agency as an Equity Solution

The facilities serving these children are not short on care. They are short on resources. And every proposal for improving pediatric environments that begins with a renovation, a new hire, or a dedicated design budget stops there — because those resources are not available. A solution that depends on what these facilities don't have isn't a solution. It's a wish.

The response that equity requires is one that works within the operational reality of under-resourced facilities. No technology integration. No IT involvement. No additional clinical training

or staffing. An investment that is modest, accessible, and designed for environments where every budget decision has to earn its place.

What Guided Agency Is

Guided Agency is the deliberate design of experiences that give a child genuine control at precisely the moment their nervous system needs it most. Not distraction — which occupies attention for a while but does not change the child's emotional condition. Not reassurance, which helps but is not a design solution. Rather, agency: something the child can genuinely do, genuinely direct, in the minutes before care begins.

The distinction matters. A distracted child is still a child who is afraid and waiting. When attention returns — and it always does — fear returns with it, often harder than before. A child with genuine agency has experienced something different: competence. A moment of real control. A signal, however brief, that this situation is not entirely outside their reach. That signal changes the state of the nervous system in ways that distraction does not.

For the children who arrive already primed, genuine agency is not a nice-to-have. It is what the moment actually requires.

Two Forms of the Moment. Two Purpose-Built Responses.

Because the Middle Moment takes two forms, the response has two as well.

The extended Middle Moment — the waiting room, the pre-op and post-op space, the long interval before anyone acts — calls for an environmental response. Something that transforms the room itself into a place a child can explore, engage with, and feel oriented toward rather than threatened by. The anxiety here is slow-building. There is time. The design can work with that time.

The acute Middle Moment — the thirty seconds before a needle, the blood draw, the wound being treated — calls for something different. What is needed is immediate: a way to regulate the breath, slow the nervous system, and give the child something genuine to do in the moment the procedure arrives. The window is short. The design has to work within it.

This distinction matters clinically. A child who has spent twenty minutes exploring an underwater world in the waiting room has arrived at the procedure in a different condition than one who has been sitting with their fear. But that same child, when the needle appears, needs something specific to the next thirty seconds — a rhythm to follow, something steady to hold onto.

StoryWall

StoryWall is the Cosmos Continuum response to the extended Middle Moment. An AR wall mural — available in ocean and jungle themes — activates through any smartphone or tablet pointed at trigger images embedded in the mural. The room comes to life. Creatures appear. The child chooses where to look, what to find, what to engage with. Siblings can share the experience. The digital layer is additive — parents and clinicians remain visible and present, the room remains real, and the child stays connected to both while exploring something genuinely their own.

StoryWall requires no technology integration with the facility. No servers. No software installation. No IT conversation. The app is free. The facility licenses the wall imagery — the

mural itself — and any device with basic connectivity does the rest. A wifi connection works. So does a standard mobile data plan. The facility's technology infrastructure is not involved.

Deployed in waiting rooms, pre-op and post-op spaces — as it has been in pediatric hospital settings across Europe — StoryWall transforms what has always been a period of escalating anxiety into an interval of genuine engagement. The child who walks into the exam room has already had a Middle Moment. And it went differently than it would have otherwise.

Breathe With Me

Breathe With Me is the Cosmos Continuum response to the acute Middle Moment. A framed image on a wall. Any smartphone or tablet. No installation, no IT conversation, no configuration of any kind.

When a child points the device at the image, a giraffe appears — present, unhurried, breathing slowly. Dandelion seeds lift and drift as the giraffe exhales. Without being told what to do, without a protocol to follow, the child begins to follow the rhythm. Breath slows. Shoulders drop. The nervous system receives a signal it has been waiting for: something here is mine.

This is where the equity argument becomes specific. A child who cannot be reached by words — because the language isn't shared, or the trust hasn't been established, or the words simply don't land in a room that feels threatening — can be reached by this. The experience does not depend on language. It does not depend on prior familiarity with clinical settings. It does not require a trained professional to deliver it. The design does the work that people alone cannot always do.

> *Breathe With Me doesn't ask anything of the facility except the decision to make it available. That is not a compromise. It is what designing for equity actually looks like.*

The clinical mechanism is well-established: controlled breathing activates the parasympathetic nervous system, reduces cortisol, and lowers heart rate. These are not marginal effects. They are the physiological markers of a nervous system moving from threat toward safety. What Breathe With Me does is make that mechanism available without a clinician to deliver it — which is precisely the condition that exists in the settings this paper has been describing.

Perciavalle et al. (2017), Neurological Sciences. Sinha et al., Journal of Pediatric Psychology.

The Low-Friction Imperative

There is a consistent pattern in how healthcare improvements reach the people who need them most. Solutions that require significant infrastructure or operational change are adopted first by well-resourced facilities. Those without the administrative capacity to manage complex implementations adopt them later, if at all.

This pattern is visible in the history of pediatric environment design itself. Child Life programs, family-centered care protocols, pediatric-specific architecture — all arrived first at children's hospitals and academic medical centers. The gap documented in Section Three exists, in part, because every previous solution carried too much friction to travel.

Neither StoryWall nor Breathe With Me carries that friction. Both activate through the same free Cosmos app, using the same mechanism — a smartphone or tablet that any clinical staff

member or family already has. Neither requires a technology connection to the facility. Neither requires training beyond the decision to make them available. The difference between them is not complexity, but the moment they are designed for.

For facilities considering where to begin, Breathe With Me represents the immediate entry point — a single framed image, deployable in any room, producing measurable results from the first encounter. StoryWall represents the environmental investment that addresses the longer anxiety arc — the waiting room experience that shapes every clinical encounter before it begins. For many facilities, the natural sequence is to start with one and expand to both as outcomes demonstrate the case.

That sequence also maps cleanly to how philanthropic funding works. The infrastructure investment gap — the reason resource-constrained facilities cannot access environmental design solutions on their operating budgets — is precisely why health equity grants and foundation funding exists. Breathe With Me is an immediate, low-cost deployment that any facility can initiate. StoryWall is the kind of purposeful environmental investment that grant funding is designed to make possible. Together, they represent a complete response to both forms of the Middle Moment — and a complete proposal for the funders who want to see their investment reach the children who need it most.

SECTION FIVE

The Standard We Haven’t Set Yet

Health equity conversations have long focused on whether people can access care — on coverage, on cost, on the barriers that keep families from the healthcare system in the first place, and on the hard work of removing them. This is necessary, and it is far from finished.

What those conversations have left largely untouched is what happens to a person once they arrive.

Getting a child through the door of a community health center represents a genuine achievement — the removal of financial, transportation, and language barriers, and addressing the mistrust many communities have toward systems that have not historically served them well. That should not be diminished.

But arriving is not the same as being met. And being met well is not only a question of clinical competence. It is a question of what the room communicates to the child sitting in it.

An Equity Issue in Plain Sight

Because the Middle Moment has never been named as an equity issue, it has never been treated as one. It has been managed, absorbed, and improvised around — its costs distributed across clinical staff, patient experience, and the memories families carry home — without ever being identified as the structural problem it is.

The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation’s Culture of Health framework positions health equity as encompassing not just access to care but the quality of the care experience — the degree to which people are treated with dignity inside the systems they enter. The landmark Institute of Medicine report “Unequal Treatment” established that disparities in care quality are structural,

not incidental. Neither framework has reached the room itself — the physical experience of being a child in a clinical space, before anyone has said a word.

That is the gap this paper has been naming.

Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, Culture of Health Framework. Smedley, Stith & Nelson, eds. (2003), "Unequal Treatment," Institute of Medicine.

What a Standard Would Look Like

Every standard in pediatric care was once an innovation. The child-friendly waiting room. The parent in the room. The Child Life Specialist on the care team. Each began as a practice adopted by a small number of forward-thinking facilities — then followed by others, then expected, then assumed.

In pediatric environment design, rooms became warmer, families were brought closer to care, and the emotional experience of the child became a legitimate design consideration rather than an afterthought. That progress deserves to be acknowledged — because the next step builds on it, not past it.

The next step is a room that participates. Not merely friendly — functional. Not merely welcoming — designed for the moment that has always existed and has never been designed for.

That standard is reachable from every point on the resource spectrum. Not because it costs nothing, but because what it costs is accessible. A frame. A device. A decision. In the facilities with the least to spend on environmental design, the barrier to that decision is smaller than any renovation, any hiring cycle, any infrastructure investment has ever been.

> *The facilities that move first are not taking a risk. They are defining what it means to care for the children in their community — and offering those families something they have not always been offered: a room designed with their child in mind.*

Trust, and the Story Families Tell

There is a dimension to this argument that extends beyond the individual encounter.

Healthcare systems seeking to build presence in underserved communities face a foundational challenge: trust is not assumed. It is earned, encounter by encounter, over time. A family whose child has a frightening experience at a community health center doesn't simply leave with a bad memory. They leave with a story. About whether the facility cared. About whether their child mattered. About whether this is a place worth returning to — or recommending to a neighbor.

A family whose child leaves calm — who remembers the giraffe, who felt something other than fear — tells a different story. That story travels the same way. It builds the kind of trust that outreach campaigns cannot manufacture.

The Middle Moment is, among other things, an opportunity. In the communities where trust has the most ground to cover, it may be the most important one available.

CLOSING

The Work That Remains

The Middle Moment has always existed. It exists today, in every pediatric facility in the country, in the quiet minutes before care begins. What has not existed is a systematic effort to design for it — particularly in the rooms where the children who need it most are waiting.

Three things have been argued here.

That the Middle Moment is not an equal experience. The children arriving at community health centers in underserved communities arrive carrying more — more prior adversity, more language distance, more difficult healthcare history. Their Middle Moment is more intense, and harder to navigate.

That the facilities serving these children are the least equipped for the challenge — not through indifference, but through the structural reality of constrained resources and competing demands.

And that a purpose-built response to this gap already exists. Two products. Two forms of the moment. Zero friction between the decision to act and the ability to do so.

What remains is the decision to treat the Middle Moment as an equity issue — to bring it into the same conversation as access, coverage, and outcomes, and to recognize that the experience of a child in a clinical room is part of what equity means.

Every child, in every facility, in every community, deserves a Middle Moment designed for them.

We know how to do this. The only question is: When will we begin?

About Cosmos Continuum

Cosmos Continuum is the research and innovation company behind Comfort-Tech Health Solutions, a product line delivering augmented reality experiences into pediatric clinical environments. Its flagship products — StoryWall and Breathe With Me — are built on two proprietary frameworks: The Middle Moment and Guided Agency. Cosmos Continuum's mission is to design for the clinical experience that has always existed and has never been systematically addressed.

cosmoscontinuum.com